color codes (are fun)

Héctor Bombín

summary

- Fault-tolerant QC in **3D** qubit lattice
- 6-local quantum ops + global classical computation
- **Constant** time overhead (disregarding efficient CC)



ingredients





Geometrically local codes

color codes

Topology + transversality



Clifford group

CNOT + T

• Optimal for every D (Bravyi-König constraint)

Bombín **'I** 3

subsystem stabilizer codes

Poulin '05



Gauge group

 $\mathcal{G}\subseteq\mathcal{P}$

 $\mathcal{S} \propto \operatorname{Center}(\mathcal{G})$

Logical operators: bare $\frac{\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})}{\mathcal{S}}$ dressed $\frac{\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{S})}{\mathcal{G}}$

subsystem stabilizer codes Poulin '05

- Gauge degrees of freedom can be local in TSC Bombin '10
 - more localized measurements (even 2-local)
- 3D gauge color codes:
 - 6-local measurements
 - self-dual (beyond homology!), transversal H

gauge fixing Paetznick & Reichardt '13

- Combine properties of different codes! (universality...)
- Switch between different codes with
 - shared set of representative bare logical ops
 - either (equivalently)



code splitting

- gauge fixing can split a code if applied to
 - collection of codes defined on disjoint sets of n_i qubits
 - single code with $\sum n_i$ qubits

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_i \mathcal{L}_i, \qquad \mathcal{G}_i \subseteq \mathcal{G}.$$

Color codes: dimensional jump!

single-shot error correction Bombin '14

- Problem: measurement errors in error detection
- Solution: repeat measurements as much as needed Shor '96
- Alternative: single-shot fault-tolerant error correction
 - robust error correction strategy (redundancy)
 - single round of local measurements
 - local measurement errors produce local residual errors
- Useful in gauge-fixing, initialization...

single-shot error correction

locality

- Locality of errors is crucial for QEC
- Operations should preserve locality



quantum-local error correction

- Local stabilizer codes = q-local ideal error correction
- Global classical computation needed to decode
- If measurements are noisy, correction might introduce large errors
- If local measurement errors only give local residual errors: q-local *fault-tolerant* error correction
- This is single-shot (fault-tolerant quantum) error correction

self-correction

- TSCs give examples of topological order:
 - gapped local quantum Hamiltonian, topological degeneracy of ground state
 - GS = code, excitations = syndromes
- Some phases survive at finite T: self-correction
- There exists a connection between self-correction and single-shot error correction: confinement

Ising model

- Simplest (classical) self-correcting system
- Critical temperature T_C if D>I
- Below T_C excitations are confined: energy dominates over entropy
- Stable bit for exponentially long time on system size



repetition code à la Ising

- stabilizer code for bit-flip errors
- qubits = faces, stabilizers = edges

$$Z_e := Z_i Z_j$$

- syndrome composed of loops
- for low local noise, confined loops



noisy error correction

- assume noise in measurements only, not at correction stage
- goal: residual loops should be confined



 effective wrong measurements give residual syndrome

spatial dimension

- ID Ising model: no confinement of punctual excitations
- ID repetition code: no confinement of syndrome under measurement errors
- Confinement mechanism: excitations are extended objects
- Full quantum self-correction seems to require D>3

- 3D gauge color codes:
 - errors: string-like
 - syndrome: endpoints
- Direct measurement of syndrome: no confinement
- Instead, obtain it from **gauge syndrome**: string-net
- Another application of gauge structure



repaired gauge syndrome: branching points = syndrome

- The gauge syndrome is **not** confined, it is random except for the fixed branching points
- The (effective) wrong part of the gauge syndrome is confined
- Each connected component has branching points with neutral charge (*i.e.* locally correctable).
- Branching points exhibit charge confinement



- There is an X and a Z gauge syndrome
- Any of them can be fixed to become part of the stabilizer, but not both!
- Each option corresponds to a *conventional* 3D color code



dimensional jumps

geometry

• A facet of a tetrahedral 3D color code lattice is a triangular 2D color code lattice (the *outer* lattice)



- Gauge generators are plaquette operators in both cases
- X and Z products with support on the whole triangle are logical operators for both

splitting

- Inner code:
 - qubits in the inner lattice
 - generators also plaquettes
- Split 3D code in 2D + inner!





ideal dimensional collapse





This process is **not** fault-tolerant





fault-tolerant dimensional collapse



Measure inner gauge syndrome

Discard inner qubits

Apply 'gauge' correction

- syndrome = endpoints of gauge syndrome
- This process tolerates measurement errors:
 - string shorter than flux-line
 - string and flux connected



fault-tolerant dimensional collapse

Destructive measurement of

rg, gb and rb plaquette ops



Apply 'gauge' correction

• The rest of flux types cannot have endpoints at a rgb-facet



fault-tolerant dimensional jumps

- Dimensional collapse is fault-tolerant:
 - Noise in outer qubits, if local, remains local
 - Local noise in inner qubits prior to measurement can be absorbed as local measurement noise.
- The inverse dimensional jump is fault-tolerant:
 - initialize the inner color code with single-shot error correction.

3D-local layout

- Memory:
 - stack of 2D color codes
 - shuffling via transversal swap
 - error tracking
- Computation:



- Pair of 2D color codes + 3D structure of inner code
- Fault-tolerant quantum-local universal operations

Higher dimensions

discussion

- Error thresholds?
- What are the limitations in 2D?
- What about non-geometrical locality?
- Could there be related 3D self-correcting systems?

$$H = -\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}_0} J_g g$$

the end?